

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.inspin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,567	04/17/2001	Jason Scott Sawyer	3051-67789	8145
75	90 11/30/2001			
BARNES & T		EXAMINER		
11 South Merid Indianapolis, IN		GOLDBERG, JEROME D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614 DATE MAILED: 11/30/2001	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/836,567		SAWYER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jerome D Gold		1614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any rearns	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory n will apply and will expi e, cause the application g date of this communi	wever, may a reply be t ninimum of thirty (30) da e SIX (6) MONTHS froi to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.		final					
2a) <u></u> 3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under		formal matters,		he merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	eration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acce	pted or b)☐ obje	cted to by the Ex	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	.			
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ appro	ved b)⊡ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).		al Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachmen		and the second second						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	4) [5) [6 .		ary (PTO-413) Paper N al Patent Application (P				
J.S. Patent and T		_Ai C		D-1	of Donor No. 0			

Application/Control Number: 09/836,567

Art Unit: 1614

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982), *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,543,428. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are directed to treating a patient having multidrug - resistant neoplasm while the parent patent is directed to "reversing multidrug resistance in a multidrug resistance tumor comprising administering..." The patent claim does not recite a host in the claim which would include any host.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the specific multidrug-resistant neoplasm disclosed, does not reasonably provide enablement for the term "multidrug-resistant neoplasm". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The term "multidrug-resistant neoplasm" in claims 1 and 2 lacks clear exemplary support in the specification as filed.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,567

Art Unit: 1614

The cancer therapy art remains highly unpredictable, and no examples exist for efficacy of

Page 3

against multidrug-resistant neoplasm generally. Therefore, based on the unpredictable nature of

the invention and state of the prior art, lack of guidance and working examples, and extreme

breadth of the claims, one skilled in this art could not use the entire scope of the claimed invention

without undue experimentation. Changing the term "multidrug-resistant neoplasm" to multidrug-

resistant neoplasm sensitive to the formula I" would overcome this rejection.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

The term "to said patient" should be inserted after "administering" in claim 1, line 3.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner J. D. Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) -308-4606. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday from 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) -308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -308-4556 or 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-1235.

Goldberg/LR

November 21, 2001